SEARCH WARRANT Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275 20-741SF10

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

THIS SEARCH WARRANT IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTE 5. 92.605. A RESPONSE IS DUE WITHIN 20 BUSINESS DAYS OF RECEIPT OF THIS WARRANT UNLESS A LONGER TIME PERIOD IS STATED HEREIN.

YOU ARE DIRECTED NOT TO DISCLOSE THE EXISTENCE OF THIS WARRANT TO THE USER FOR A PERIOD OF 90 DAYS. ANY SUCH DISCLOSRE WILL IMPEDE THE INVESTIGATION AND THEREBY OBSTRUCT JUSTICE.

SEARCH WARRANT

TO THE SHERIFF AND/OR DEPUTY SHERIFFS OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA AND/OR TO AGENTS OF THE FOLLOWING LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES:

AFFIANT WHO SUPPORTS THIS SEARCH WARRANT BY GENERAL AFFIDAVIT AND APPLICATION:

DETECTIVE MARK MORETTI MIRAMAR POLICE DEPARTMENT Case # 20-05-02275

DESCRIPTION OF ACCOUNT(S) TO BE SEARCHED:

An iCloud account(s) associated with IMEI/ESN(s)

ESN: 353985108772067 (Baker)
 IMEI: 353812086864593 (Dunbar)

The iCloud account to be searched is hosted by Apple located at:

Apple Inc.
Attn: Privacy and LE Compliance
I Infinite Loop
Cupertino, CA 95014

Reviewed by Judge Marina Garcia Wood Marina Garcia Wood

1

SEARCH WARRANT Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

STATUTE(S) BEING VIOLATED:

Armed Robbery, contrary to F.S. 812.13(2a) Witness Tampering, contrary to F.S. 914.22

GROUNDS FOR ISSUANCE

Pursuant to F.S. 933.02, property has been used as a means to commit any crime and/or property constitutes evidence relevant to proving that a felony has been committed.

Pursuant to Florida Statutes § 92.605 and § 934.23, as well as the United States Code 18 U.S.C. 2703, and California Statute § 1524.2, a Judge of the Circuit Court of Florida has jurisdiction to issue a search warrant for the account to be searched, an out-of-state corporation that provides electronic communication services or remote computing services to the public, which possesses records that would reveal the identity of the customers using those services; data stored by, or on behalf of, the customers; the customer's usage of those services; or the recipients or destinations of communications sent to or from those customers and that such warrant shall be executed by civilian personnel employed at account to be searched and at the out-of-state corporation that provides electronic communication services or remote computing services to the public.

PROPERTY SOUGHT:

Law Enforcement is hereby authorized to search the following:

PROPERTY TO BE PROVIDED BY APPLE

- 1. Device registration information, including but not limited to: customer information, names, addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, date of registration, purchase date and device type, including any associated IMEI or ESN.
- 2. iCloud data, including but not limited to:
 - a. Subscriber information, including but not limited to, basic subscriber information such as names, physical addresses, email addresses, telephone numbers, and information regarding iCloud feature connections.
 - b. Mail logs, including but not limited to, records of incoming and outgoing communications such as times, dates, sender email addresses, and recipient email addresses.
 - c. Email content, including but not limited to, iCloud email content in the customer's mailbox.

2

Idoud

acct 851:353965106772067 (Baker)

SEARCH WARRANT Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

- d. Other iCloud content, including but not limited to, PhotoStream, documents, contacts, calendars, bookmarks, and iOS device backups.
- 3. Find My iPhone data, including but not limited to, Find My iPhone connection logs, location information, and Find My iPhone transactional activity for requests to remotely lock or erase a device.
- 4. All records, data, and information, including but not limited to, text messages, Instant Messages, voicemails, documents, images/photographs, videos, data documenting the device location, and the date and time that any digital images were taken.
- 5. Any backups for the above device uploaded to iCloud.
- 6. Face Time Communication Logs
- 7. iTunes account information, including but not limited to basic subscriber information, name, addresses, email addresses, telephone numbers, purchase/download transactions and/or connections, update/re-download connections and connection logs with IP addresses.
- 8. Dates Targeted: 05/10/2020 05/31/2020

Apple, Inc. shall disclose the above responsive data, if any, by sending it to Detective Mark Moretti, Miramar Police Department, Homicide Unit, 11765 City Hall Promenade, Miramar, Florida 33025, using the United States Postal Service or other courier service notwithstanding 18 USC 2252A or similar code.

Apple, Inc. shall also include a Custodian of Business Records Affidavit.

SEARCH TO BE CONDUCTED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT

- 1. Subscriber information, including all account information, including but not limited to name, date of birth, social security number, full on file credit card number, email addresses, phone numbers, physical addresses, IP logs, list of associated Apple devices, etc.
- 2. iCloud backups on the Cloud to include but not limited to Apps that have been purchased and method of payment.
- 3. Photo Stream records to include but not limited to album names and photographs associated with those albums, users account information that own the Photo Stream and those that are users of the Photo Stream, and any and all metadata and EXIF data associated with these albums and photographs/videos.

SEARCH WARRANT Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

- 4. Bookmarks to include but not limited to full URL of all bookmarks, date the bookmark was created, and how many times that bookmark has been accessed.
- 5. Backups to include but not limited to full, unencrypted, non-password restricted backups of any and all Apple devices stored on the Cloud. These should include but not be limited to all files associated with an Apple device backup and all data, such as text messages, GPS location logs or stored location information, Internet browser history, Internet search history, photographs, videos, email account information and emails, iMessages, instant messages, notes, journal entries, contacts, voice memos, stored voicemails, call logs, passbook information, calendar information, reminders, social networking information, financial Apps and information, metadata and EXIF data associated with these records and files.
- 6. Email stored on the Cloud to include but not limited to email address, any and all incoming and outgoing emails, dates and times of emails, saved emails, email drafts, and deleted emails.
- 7. Contacts and Buddy Lists to include but not limited to name, company, phone numbers, email addresses, associated URLs, physical addresses, prefix, phonetic first name, phonetic last name, middle, suffix, nickname, job title, department, twitter URL, profile URL, birthday, date, instant message, related people, and notes.
- 8. Any stored/backup images, video, or other media files in order to:
 - a. Identify the user/owner of the device/account
 - b. Identify any images/messages as it relates to the above listed charges
 - c. Identify any firearms used in the commission of the above charge

THE FACTS upon which the Affiant's belief is based have been stated under oath and are set out in Affiant's GENERAL AFFIDAVIT AND APPLICATION FOR SEARCH WARRANT. These facts are now incorporated herein and made a part of this SEARCH WARRANT.

NOW THEREFORE, the facts upon which the belief of said Affiant is based as set out in said GENERAL AFFIDAVIT AND APPLICATION FOR SEARCH WARRANT are hereby deemed sufficient to show probable cause for the issuance of a SEARCH WARRANT in accordance with the application of said Affiant.

ORDER:

I HEREBY COMMAND YOU, the Affiant, DETECTIVE MARK MORETTI of the MIRAMAR POLICE DEPARTMENT, and/or any other members of the MIRAMAR POLICE DEPARTMENT, with necessary assistance, including law enforcement officers, computer forensic examiners, or computer examiners from the assisting agencies, to search the accounts previously described. You may search for the property described previously by serving this search warrant via facsimile or U.S. Mail upon the proper legal representative of Apple, Inc. in conformance with Florida Statute 92.605.

Apple, Inc. shall disclose the above responsive data, if any, by sending it to Detective Mark Moretti, Miramar Police Department, Homicide Unit, 11765 City Hall Promenade, Miramar, Florida

SEARCH WARRANT Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

33025, using the United States Postal Service or other courier service notwithstanding 18 USC 2252A or similar code. Apple, Inc. shall also include a Custodian of Business Records Affidavit.

FURTHER, this court finds that notification to the subscriber of the existence of the warrant will likely result in the destruction of evidence and flight from prosecution. Therefore, pursuant to F.S. 934.25(6) and 18 U.S.C. 2705(b), this Court orders that Apple, Inc. shall be precluded from notifying the subscriber of the above described account(s) of the existence of the search warrant requesting records related to this account for a period of 90 days from the issuance of this warrant.

I FURTHER COMMAND that any property seized be listed on a RETURN AND INVENTORY filed within this Judicial Circuit within ten (10) days from date of receipt.

YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANDED to deliver a copy of this SEARCH WARRANT to the legal representative of Apple, Inc. If property is taken under the warrant, you shall deliver to the legal representative a written inventory of the property taken and receipt for the same.

I GRANT AUTHORITY to execute this SEARCH WARRANT in the daytime/nighttime, or on Sunday.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 02th DAY OF JUNE A.D. 2020.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE MARINA GARCIA-WOOD JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

Mana Guia Work

Reviewed and signed by Judge Marina Garcia Wood Marina Garcia Wood

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT & APPLICATION Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT AND APPLICATION FOR SEARCH WARRANT

STATE OF FLORIDA)	
) SS	•
COUNTY OF BROWARD)	

The undersigned Affiant, Detective Mark Moretti of the Miramar Police Department, County of Broward, State of Florida, hereby submits this affidavit and application for search warrant to the Honorable <u>Marina Garcia-Wood</u>, Judge of the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, State of Florida.

This affidavit and application is hereby electronically submitted bearing your Affiant's signature supported by oath or affirmation in compliance with F.S. 933.07: in which the Affiants depose and says:

DESCRIPTION OF ACCOUNT(S) TO BE SEARCHED:

An iCloud account(s) associated with IMEI/ESN(s)

1. ESN: 353985108772067 (Baker)
2. IMEI: 353812086864593 (Dunbar)

The iCloud account to be searched is hosted by Apple located at:

Apple Inc.
Attn: Privacy and LE Compliance
1 Infinite Loop
Cupertino, CA 95014

STATUTE(S) BEING VIOLATED:

Armed Robbery, contrary to F.S. 812.13(2a) Witness Tampering, contrary to F.S. 914.22

GROUNDS FOR ISSUANCE

Pursuant to F.S. 933.02, property has been used as a means to commit any crime and/or property constitutes evidence relevant to proving that a felony has been committed.

Pursuant to Florida Statutes § 92.605 and § 934.23, as well as the United States Code 18

Reviewed by Judge Marina Garcia Wood Marina Garcia Wood

Idoud Ocot &511:353985108772067 (baker)

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT & APPLICATION Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

U.S.C. 2703, and California Statute § 1524.2, a Judge of the Circuit Court of Florida has jurisdiction to issue a search warrant for the account to be searched, an out-of-state corporation that provides electronic communication services or remote computing services to the public, which possesses records that would reveal the identity of the customers using those services; data stored by, or on behalf of, the customers; the customer's usage of those services; or the recipients or destinations of communications sent to or from those customers and that such warrant shall be executed by civilian personnel employed at account to be searched and at the out-of-state corporation that provides electronic communication services or remote computing services to the public.

PROPERTY SOUGHT:

Your Affiant seeks to seize the below-described evidence pursuant to Florida Statute § 92.605 and § 934.23, as well as the United States Code 18 U.S.C. 2703, and California Statute § 1524.2, which compel out-of-state electronic communication service or remote computing service that provides such services to the public to provide information requested pursuant to search warrants, court orders or subpoenas issued in the State of Florida. However, because the out-of-state electronic communication service or remote computing service provider has no reasonable means to distinguish evidence of the crimes from any other records contained within the sought-after account, your Affiant seeks to compel the service provider to seize a copy of all records pertaining to the account and provide the entirety of the records to your Affiant. Once your Affiant has obtained those records, your Affiant and/or other agents authorized to execute the warrant or to assist therein, shall conduct an actual search of the items obtained from the out-of-state electronic communication service or remote computing service provider in order to sort the evidence of the crimes articulated below and specifically sought herein, which may be intermingled with innocent or innocuous documents or records.

As such, there are two sections below, the first of which articulates what the out-of-state electronic communication service or remote computing service provider is obligated to produce to your Affiant, Detective Mark Moretti; the second section articulates more specifically the particular property sought, which will be executed by your Affiant and/or your Affiant's colleagues after your Affiant receives the items sought from the out-of-state service provider:

PROPERTY TO BE PROVIDED BY APPLE:

- Device registration information, including but not limited to: customer information, names, addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, date of registration, purchase date and device type, including any associated IMEI or ESN.
- 2. iCloud data, including but not limited to:
 - a. Subscriber information, including but not limited to, basic subscriber information such as names, physical addresses, email addresses, telephone numbers, and information regarding iCloud feature connections.
 - b. Mail logs, including but not limited to, records of incoming and outgoing

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT & APPLICATION Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

communications such as times, dates, sender email addresses, and recipient email addresses.

- c. Email content, including but not limited to, iCloud email content in the customer's mailbox.
- d. Other iCloud content, including but not limited to, PhotoStream, documents, contacts, calendars, bookmarks, and iOS device backups.
- 3. Find My iPhone data, including but not limited to, Find My iPhone connection logs, location information, and Find My iPhone transactional activity for requests to remotely lock or erase a device.
- 4. All records, data, and information, including but not limited to, text messages, Instant Messages, voicemails, documents, images/photographs, videos, data documenting the device location, and the date and time that any digital images were taken.
- 5. Any backups for the above device uploaded to iCloud.
- 6. FaceTime Communication Logs.
- iTunes account information, including but not limited to basic subscriber information, name, addresses, email addresses, telephone numbers, purchase/download transactions and/or connections, update/re-download connections and connection logs with IP addresses.
- 8. Dates Targeted: 05/10/2020 05/31/2020

Apple, Inc. shall disclose the above responsive data, if any, by sending it to Detective Mark Moretti, Miramar Police Department, Homicide Unit, 11765 City Hall Promenade, Miramar, Florida 33025, using the United States Postal Service or other courier service notwithstanding 18 USC 2252A or similar code.

Apple, Inc. shall also include a Custodian of Business Records Affidavit.

SEARCH TO BE CONDUCTED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT:

- Subscriber information, including all account information, including but not limited to name, date of birth, social security number, full on file credit card number, email addresses, phone numbers, physical addresses, IP logs, list of associated Apple devices, etc.
- 2. iCloud backups on the Cloud to include but not limited to Apps that have been purchased and method of payment.
- 3. Photo Stream records to include but not limited to album names and photographs associated

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT & APPLICATION Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

with those albums, users account information that own the Photo Stream and those that are users of the Photo Stream, and any and all metadata and EXIF data associated with these albums and photographs/videos.

- 4. Bookmarks to include but not limited to full URL of all bookmarks, date the bookmark was created, and how many times that bookmark has been accessed.
- 5. Backups to include but not limited to full, unencrypted, non-password restricted backups of any and all Apple devices stored on the Cloud. These should include but not be limited to all files associated with an Apple device backup and all data, such as text messages, GPS location logs or stored location information, Internet browser history, Internet search history, photographs, videos, email account information and emails, iMessages, instant messages, notes, journal entries, contacts, voice memos, stored voicemails, call logs, passbook information, calendar information, reminders, social networking information, financial Apps and information, metadata and EXIF data associated with these records and files.
- 6. Email stored on the Cloud to include but not limited to email address, any and all incoming and outgoing emails, dates and times of emails, saved emails, email drafts, and deleted emails.
- 7. Contacts and Buddy Lists to include but not limited to name, company, phone numbers, email addresses, associated URLs, physical addresses, prefix, phonetic first name, phonetic last name, middle, suffix, nickname, job title, department, twitter URL, profile URL, birthday, date, instant message, related people, and notes.
- 8. Any stored/backup images, video, or other media files in order to:
 - a. Identify the user/owner of the device/account
 - b. Identify any images/communications as it relates to the above listed charges
 - c. Identify any firearms used in the commission of the above charge.

AFFIANT'S QUALIFICATIONS

Your Affiant is a certified Police Officer with the Miramar Police Department and has been employed as a law enforcement officer for 21 years. Your affiant has been a Detective for 6 years and is currently assigned to the Homicide Unit.

PROBABLE CAUSE

That the specific and articulable facts establishing Affiant's probable cause to believe that Quinton Dunbar and Deandre Baker, committed the crime of Armed Robbery. The facts to this case are as follows:

4

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT & APPLICATION Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

On May 14, 2020, at approximately 00:20 hours, the Miramar Police Department was requested to responded to 19430 SW 30th Street, Miramar, FL in reference to a call of an Armed Robbery that occurred approximately 45 minutes earlier.

Upon arrival, Officer Lerner, he met with the following four victims (
and one witness (Dominic Johnson) in the driveway of the
above address.

According to , he had a party at his residence on May 13, 2020, located in the City of Miramar. The party began around 8:00 PM. Numerous individuals were in attendance, he did not know all the individuals, but one of the attendees/assailants was later identified as Deandre Lamar Baker. stated under oath, that he was outside cooking food when he heard a commotion inside. When looked inside the residence he observed Deandre Lamar Baker with a gun in his hand. Baker was pointing it at one of the attendees of the party. alleged that Baker was directing two other individuals to take money and valuables from the other attendees. also stated that Quinton Disheen Dunbar was assisting Baker in taking the money and valuables from the attendees. added, the assailant, while wearing a red mask, took his valuables (\$800 in U.S. Currency and a \$18,000 Rolex Watch) at the direction of Baker. also stated that Baker directed the assailant in the red mask to shoot who was just walking into the party.

I then interviewed According to he had just walked into the residence when Deandre Lamar Baker directed the individual wearing the red mask to shoot him. added that the person wearing the red mask robbed him of \$7,000 in U.S. Currency and a Hublot Watch valued at \$25,000. stated under oath that Baker pointed a gun at him and he was in fear for his life. stated that two other individuals were armed with firearms, but Quinton Disheen Dunbar was not. indicated those who were involved in the robbery all departed in three different vehicles. was also under the impression this was planned out as because the vehicles (Mercedes Benz, Lamborghini and a BMW) were later pre-positioned to expedited an immediate departure from the area.

20-741SF10

I then made contact with and according to him he was attending a party at a residence that he was invited to attend. At this party, attendees were playing cards, video games and gambling, but he was in the kitchen eating. It was at this point an argument ensued at the card table and he observed Deandre Lamar Baker and another person identified as "Shy" flip over the table and brandish firearms. Baker and "Shy" pointed their firearms at the face of According to Quinton Disheen Dunbar was armed with a firearm and he was also added, that Baker then walked over to him and took his money pointing it at (\$4,000 in U.S. Currency) and watch (Audemars Piguet Valued at \$17,500). stated he met Baker and Dunbar about two days ago in Miami at a different party at which Baker had lost about \$70,000 in cash. According to the vehicles used by the assailants were prepositioned outside the residence in a manner that would facilitate an expedited departure from the residence.

I then interviewed and according to him, Deandre Lamar Baker pointed a gun at him and told him to give him all is money (\$600 in U.S. Currency) and his watch (A Richard Mille knock-off valued at \$600). also stated that Baker directed the person with the red mask to shoot as he just walked into the area. According to , he did not see Quinton Disheen Dunbar with a firearm, but he did see and hear him directing others to take valuables. stated he then fled from the residence via the back patio door.

I then made contact with a person who was known throughout the residence as "Coach," but was identified as Dominic Johnson. Johnson said he attended the party in Miramar and advised that they were playing cards and video games. According to Johnson, a fight broke out between and another un-named individual. Johnson has known Deandre Lamar Baker and Quinton Disheen Dunbar since they were children. Johnson advised that Baker and Dunbar attended the party as well. Johnson said he saw Baker armed with a handgun, but did not see a firearm in Dunbar's possession. Johnson stated none of his valuables were taken, nor was he threatened by any of the armed assailants because they knew him. Johnson was in contact with Baker after the robbery and in my presence. I attempted to talk with Baker via Johnson's cell phone, but Baker refused to speak with me and immediately terminated the call.

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT & APPLICATION Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

On 05/15/2020, I was contacted by Michael Greico, attorney for Dunbar, stating the victims and witness have recarted their sworn/recorded statements against Dunbar. However, I also want the court to be aware that all five individuals have been threatened and have expressed extreme concern to me regarding their safety. One of the individual was forced to move out of his apartment. The other was receiving texted messages containing pictures of his passport, the same passport that was stolen during the robbery. I now have copies in my possession the text messages and pictures received by

On 05/19/2020, a witness in this case provided this affiant with a sworn statement. According to the witness, he received money from Dominic Johnson at Michael Greico's Office in Miami. He said he was paid \$5000 from Johnson to sign the affidavit recanting the sworn statement he gave law enforcement. According to this witness, Greico walked back into the office just after the transaction had occurred and he stated there is no way he (Greico) could not have seen this transaction. Another witness in this case also provided a sworn statement that he was directed to sign the affidavit because he was told he didn't see Dunbar do anything wrong. That witness said he didn't want to be the only person not to sign the affidavit, so he did.

During the investigation, I obtained a search warrant for the Instagram accounts of Baker and Dunbar. It revealed direct messages between Baker and Johnson. Johnson is telling Baker to come to Dunbar Lawyer's office located at 175 SW 7th St Suite #2410. Baker replied, "Yo I'm in the city now getting cash how much to bring ?? I'm tryna get there too u ASAP." There appears to be several phone calls between Baker and Johnson. Johnson appears to be nervous by telling Baker, "Yooooo these people finna leave." This is consistent with sworn statement made by the first witness in this matter. This all occurred between 2:15 pm and 3:11 pm on 05/15/20. Then this affiant receives notification from Greico at approximately 4:00 pm on the same date indicating the change in testimony from the victims and witness.

I have received sworn statements from the victims in this case in which they all admitted to being paid to sign the affidavits.

stated he was only paid \$5,000 and he gave half of his money to initially stated that Dunbar was involved in the robbery, then

7

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT & APPLICATION Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

stated he was mistaken. Even though the officers body worn camera's show showing a picture of Dunbar to the responding officers. testimony never changed concerning the armed robbery. did receive \$20,0000 in the lawyer's office. stated he received \$30,000 in the lawyer's office. was hesitant and didn't want to admit that he changed his testimony until he talked to his attorney. However, later on in the interview he admitted that Dunbar was involved in the robbery, contradicting the affidavit he signed in the lawyer's office. stated he just signed the affidavit because he didn't want to be the only person not to sign it. stated he was being directed that he didn't see what he thought he saw.

I then obtained the video evidence from the CCTV in the building where Michael Grecio's Office is located. This is what I observed:

- 1. 2:11 PM: , Johnson Unknown person arrived in a mini-van and parked in the front parking area
- 2. 2:13 PM: arrived, but they parked at a gas station across the street and walked over
- 3. 2:15 PM: and enter lobby
- 4. 2:23 PM: and Johnson attempted to enter the lobby elevator
- 5. 2:23 PM: Greico departs his office on the 24th Floor and take the elevator to the lobby
- 6. 2:25 PM: Greico, Johnson, all take the elevator to the 24th Floor.
- 7. 2:26 PM: They all enter Greico's Office. Johnson later departs
- 8. 2:34 PM: Johnson and another unknown person enter the lobby elevator and proceed to the 24th Floor. Unknown person removed a black bag from this shoulders, opens it and removes money. Unknown person also shows the open bag to Johnson and you can see a large quantity of money. Johnson then takes the bag.
- 9. 2:36 PM: Johnson and unknown person exit the elevator on the 24th Floor and meet everyone in front of Greico's Office.
- 10. 2:38 PM: While in front of Greico's Office, it appeared they were all arguing.
- 11. 3:00 PM: They exit the lawyers Office. followed by walk towards the

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT & APPLICATION Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

elevators and proceed to the lobby. Johnson, and unknown B/M stand in front of Greico's Office and have a conversation.

12. 3:01 PM: Unknown person then hands Johnson a large sum of money, he holds it then hands it back

13. 3:02 PM: Unknown person, Johnson and re-enter Greico's Office

14. 3:10 PM: Unknown person, Johnson, depart Grecico's Office. is now carrying the black bag. leaves a few minutes behind them.

The video evidence is consistent with the sworn statements of thevictims. They all stated that none of them would sign the form presented to them by Greico until they were paid. The video shows Johnson departing Greico's office, making contact with a person identified by the victims as being Dunbar's brother (I affiant could not confirm the identity at this time) in the lobby of the lawyer's building. Video evidence shows the unknown person open the bag filled with money and show it to Johnson. Johnson then takes custody of the bag.

I then obtained a search warrant for the Instagram account of Johnson (powerballnick_305). It was there when I discovered the private direct messages Johnson was communicating between himself and his friends pertaining to his involvement in the case. The participants in the conversation have been withheld to protect their identity.

- Time 2020-05-15 00:18:53 UTC
 Text Yeap I invited them niggas to the dice game so I'm involved cause I told them to come
 Author powerballnick_305 (238463310)
- 2. Time 2020-05-15 00:19:21 UTC
 Text What happened?
 Author
 Recipients powerballnick_305
- 3. Time 2020-05-15 00:19:50 UTC

 Text They got mad cause the other dudes won the money
 Author powerballnick_305 (238463310)
- 4. Time 2020-05-15 13:42:12 UTC Text Bra that shit ain't go nothing like that 3 ball players was meeting up to play against each other in madden for the money and the only way niggas was invited cause they was nfl niggas no street niggas so everything was supposed to be safe and everybody

knew who everybody was cause they played against each other in the season . One got mad felt like the other one was cheating and took his money back . I got caught in the middle cause I invited the two dudes from the crib so that's how they put me in the middle . I never told on nobody

Author powerballnick_305 (238463310)

5. Time 2020-05-15 14:53:39 UTC

Text It's everywhere it will get fixed Author powerballnick_305 (238463310)

6. Time 2020-05-15 16:07:03 UTC

Text @powerballnick_305 coach you got to help them ppl much as you can holla at the ppl

that got rob and the other side to clear it up cause your name involved

Author

Recipients powerballnick_305

7. Time 2020-05-15 16:07:19 UTC

Text I'm making it right Author powerballnick 305

8. Time 2020-05-15 16:15:25 UTC

Text Niggas did that dumb shit and put me in a bad spot Author powerballnick_305

9. Time 2020-05-15 16:38:38 UTC

Text We all heading to the lawyer now to get the charges dropped I got them niggas to sign

the papers

Author powerballnick 305 (238463310)

10. Time 2020-05-15 23:00:59 UTC

Text Got them same niggas that said they got robbed to say they didn't **Author** powerballnick_305 (238463310)

11. Time 2020-05-15 23:18:54 UTC

Text Same niggas that got robbed I made them fix that shit **Author** powerballnick 305

12. Time 2020-05-16 02:45:00 UTC

Text I Made them same niggas that said they got robbed come in and say them Boys ain't

have nothing to Do with it

Author powerballnick_305 (238463310)

13. Time 2020-05-16 15:15:53 UTC

Text He's good the charges will be dropped by the end of the week Author powerballnick_305 (238463310)

These private messages corroborate the victim's initial statements and follow-up statements. Johnson's own written words corroborate a robbery had occurred and those who were charged were the participants. After the papers were signed, Johnson made a phone call to Dunbar, while in Greico's office. Johnson was also communicating with Baker via direct messages, but it could not be determined if they actually met up.

Also, it was later discovered after viewing the officers body worn cameras, the victim on-scene were telling the responding officers that one of the suspects left a cell phone on-scene.

According to the victims, Johnson took custody of the cell phone and concealed it from law enforcement. This piece of evidence could have identified one of the other participants in the robbery.

Johnson's actions contaminated the integrity of the investigation as he made the victims recant their statements, only after given them cash. Communication was established between all three parties, however the contents of that communications could not be identified. Those messages could still be in possession of Apple Inc. This warrant will assist us in identifying communication methods used by involved parties and assist in obtaining additional information to further a criminal investigation.

It should be noted that the Florida Legislature passed section 92.605 Florida Statutes, effective July 1, 2003, which provides that out-of-state electronic communication service providers doing business in this state must accept Florida subpoenas, court orders or search warrants. Proper service includes U.S. Mail and facsimile. California Penal Code section 1524.2 (2003) contains similar provisions. Specifically, subsection (c) states,

"A California corporation that provides electronic communication services or remote computing services to the general public, when served with a warrant issued by another state to produce records that would reveal the identity of the customers using those services, data stored by, or on behalf of, the customer, the customer's usage of those services, the recipient or destination of communications sent to or from those customers, or the content of those communications, shall produce those records as if that warrant had been issued by a California court."

Subsection (a) (6) provides,

"Properly served" means that a search warrant has been delivered by hand, or in a manner reasonably allowing for proof of delivery if delivered by United Stales

20-741 SF10

mail, overnight delivery service, or facsimile to a person or entity listed in Section 2110 of the Corporations Code.

Additionally, your Affiant has learned that Apple, Inc. has implemented a policy that they will notify their subscribers of all subpoenas, court orders or search warrants related to their iCloud accounts unless they receive a court order requiring them not to do so. Both Florida and Federal law contain provisions allowing a court to prohibit such notification.

Neither federal nor Florida law requires notification to the subscriber. F.S. 934.23(4)(c) states, "An investigative or law enforcement officer who receives records or information under this subsection is not required to provide notice to a subscriber or customer." 18 U.S.C 2703(c)(3) states, "(3) A governmental entity receiving records or information under this subsection is not required to provide notice to a subscriber or customer."

F.S. 934.25(6) states,

An investigative or law enforcement officer acting under s. 934.23, when not required to notify the subscriber or customer under s. 934.23(2)(a), or to the extent that such notice may be delayed pursuant to subsection (1), may apply to a court for an order commanding a provider of electronic communication service or remote computing service to whom a warrant, subpoena, or court order is directed, for such period as the court deems appropriate, not to notify any other person of the existence of such warrant, subpoena, or court order. The court shall enter such order if it determines that there is reason to believe that notification of the existence of the warrant, subpoena, or court order will result in any of the following:

- (a) Endangering the life or physical safety of an individual.
- (b) Fleeing from prosecution.
- (c) Destroying or tampering with evidence.
- (d) Intimidating potential witnesses.
- (e) Seriously jeopardizing an investigation or unduly delaying a trial.

18 U.S.C. 2705(b) states,

A governmental entity acting under section 2703, when it is not required to notify the subscriber or customer under section 2703 (b)(1), or to the extent that it may delay such notice pursuant to subsection (a) of this section, may apply to a court for an order commanding a provider of electronic communications service or remote computing service to whom a warrant, subpoena, or court order is directed, for such period as the court deems appropriate, not to notify any other person of the existence of the warrant, subpoena, or court order. The court shall enter such an order if it determines that there is reason to believe that notification of the existence of the warrant, subpoena, or court order will result in—

(1) endangering the life or physical safety of an individual;

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT & APPLICATION Miramar Police Department Case # 20-05-02275

- (2) flight from prosecution;
- (3) destruction of or tampering with evidence;
- (4) intimidation of potential witnesses; or
- (5) otherwise seriously jeopardizing an investigation or unduly delaying a trial.

Your Applicant has reason to believe that notifying the subscriber of the issuance of this search warrant will result in the destruction of or tampering with evidence. If the suspect learns of this search warrant prior to execution, they may take efforts to delete the relevant material from the account. Additionally, the suspect may delete any relevant from his home computer or other device before your Affiant has had an opportunity to seize those items.

WHEREFORE, your Affiant hereby makes application for a Search Warrant authorizing the Affiant and the MIRAMAR POLICE DEPARTMENT of Broward County, Florida, with proper and necessary assistance, to search the above described account(s), in a manner consistent with Florida Statute § 92.605 and California Penal Code section 1524.2, by delivering said warrant via facsimile or U.S. Mail to an authorized legal representative of Apple, Inc., and to seize any and all of the aforementioned property by virtue of such Search Warrant and to list the property seized on a return and inventory, to be filed within this Judicial Circuit Court within ten days of receipt.

Detective Mark Moretti Affiant & Applicant

The foregoing instrument was duly sworn to and subscribed before me this <u>02</u> day of <u>June 2020</u>, by Detective Moretti who is personally known to me or who has produced (ID) as identification and who DID take an oath.

Officer Administering the Oath (Signature)

Officer Administering the Oath (Printed Name)

Reviewed by Judge Marina Garcia Wood Marina Garcia Wood



Police Department

City of Miramar, Florida



INVENTORY AND RETURN ON SEARCH

there INC "	ing a true copy therefore to his original diligent search warra he contents therefore, and by m	ant and reading to A	pole Inc a	ne time showin
1) remoter	Data	A (65	г пemb	
above inventory conta	the officer ains a true and detailed ces taken by me on said w	arrant.		
above inventory conta paraphernalia and devi	ains a true and detailed ces taken by me on said w	account of all the arrant.	property, appliance	s, apparatu
above inventory conta paraphernalia and device Sworn to and subscribe	ains a true and detailed ces taken by me on said w Signature d before me this	account of all the arrant. re:	property, appliance	
Sworn to and subscribe Witness to the remo	ains a true and detailed ces taken by me on said w Signature d before me this	account of all the parrant. The day of The	property, appliance	s, apparatu
above inventory contagoraphernalia and device sworn to and subscribe witness to the remove STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF	ains a true and detailed ces taken by me on said w Signature d before me this	account of all the parrant. The day of July, 20	property, appliance	s, apparatu